

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
09/155,642	10/02/1998	AKE LINDAHL	003300-506	8949	•
21839 7590 12/19/2003		EXAMINER			
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			WANG, SHENGJUN		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-	
ALLXANDRI	11, 171 22313-1404		1617		٦

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/155,642	LINDAHL ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shengjun Wang	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 05 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or					
(2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 05 November 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>55-58,61-79,82,85,88-94 and 97</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 59, 60, 80,	81, 83, 84, 95, 96, 98 and 99.				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)⊡ disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449)	·			
10. Other:					
		Shengjun Wang			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior office action. Particularly, as to the 112 rejection, there is no bright line as to which fatty alcohol may not be considered as plasticizer. For the obvious rejections, note considering the cited references as a whole, the claimed inventions are obvious as stated in the prior office action. .